



Incidental Fee Committee Meeting #7
Monday February 10, 2025 | 7:00 PM
WUC Calapooia Room and Zoom passcode: 930805

Rhylie Sevits in Chair

Minutes

1. Call to Order
 - 7:01PM
2. Approval of the agenda
 - JD: I move to approve the agenda for today.
 - Nathaniel: I second
 - i. No dissent
3. Approval of the minutes from 02/03/25
 - Skylar: I move to approve the minutes from 02/03/25
 - Kira: I second
 - i. No dissent
4. Roll Call
 - IFC Members
 - i. Rhylee Sevits
 - ii. Nathaniel Rivera
 - iii. JD McShane
 - iv. Aidan VanDyke
 - v. Mark Warren
 - vi. Kira Shelton
 - vii. Skylar Stangeland
 - viii. Cody Dickenson
 - ix. Brenda Perez (entered meeting at 7:10PM)
 - Advisors
 - i. Tina Fuchs
 - ii. Amber Deets
 - iii. Evan Scorce
 - iv. Camarie Moreno
 - Area Heads
 - i. Rip Horsey, Campus Rec.
 - ii. Daniel Tankersley, Creative Arts
 - iii. Randi Lydum, Athletics
 - iv. Chelsee Blatner, Student Engagement
 - v. Kristen Perry, Abby's House/Food Pantry



- vi. Rian Gayle, ACCESS
- vii. Tammy Gardner, CDC
- viii. Cameron Mortensen, WOLF Ride
- ix. Brenda Martinez, ASWOU
- x. Linden Loren, Student Media

- Other Representatives
 - i. Maggie Bartosovsky, ASWOU Senate President, Parliamentarian
 - ii. Anfisa Boianoff, ASWOU Senator
 - iii. Briana McNeel, ASWOU Senator
- IFC Secretary
 - i. Paula Taylor

5. Old Business

- None

6. New Business

- Preliminary Decision FY26
 - i. Student Fee
 - 1. Rhylee: Reminder this year fees are \$415 on campus, \$210 online and off campus, \$210 for summer (misspoken, summer is only \$150)
 - 2. Nathaniel: Raise all fees by 5%. \$435 on campus, \$220 online or off campus, \$157 for summer.
 - a. Amber: Can you repeat that please?
 - b. Nathaniel: Yes, on campus \$435, online/off campus \$220, summer \$157. Increase of 4.7%, \$20, \$10, \$7.
 - 3. JD: Maybe raise summer to \$220 as well. Is now an 11-week program.
 - a. Amber: Graduate students here for 11 weeks, not as many services during the summer. Other programs still 6,8 and 4.
 - 4. Aidan: In support of raising the fee. Reasonable and effective increase.
 - 5. Nathaniel: By raising it 5%, also raises the allocation available, with 15% reserve in mind, to \$4,072,799.
 - 6. Skylar: Don't hate the 5%, also think bringing summer up more than 5%. It has not been touched in a long time.
 - 7. Camarie: I will look it up.
 - 8. Rhyllie: Other thoughts? Anybody opposed to summer raised more than 5%?
 - a. Skylar: What is it raised by 10%?
 - b. Rhyllie: Probably \$165.
 - c. Brenda M: Summer courses are a lot more expensive.
 - d. Rhyllie: We will be mindful of how much we raise it.
 - e. Amber: I like whole numbers.
 - f. Rhyllie: 10% will get us to \$165.



9. Rhyllie: Anybody think we need to do more than 10%.
10. Camarie: I have added a tab with historical fees. Regular and summer fees listed. \$150 for summer since 2023. Historical context: We used to do regular and summer IFC allocations separate. Had 2 different budgets. Summer fees based on covering the summer budgets. In 2020 IFC restructured the fee.
11. Skylar: 10% increase does not make it the most expensive.
12. Rhylee: Raising to \$165 not the most expensive it has ever been and reasonable.
13. Skylar: I make a motion to preliminarily set the fee to \$165 for summer.
 - a. Kira: I second the motion.
 - b. Rhyllie: Roll call vote: Brenda P. yes, JD yes, Aidan yes, Mark yes, Kira yes, Skylar yes, Cody yes, Nathaniel yes.
 - i. Motion passes 8-0-0.
14. Rhyllie: Next we should look at on campus. Nathaniel suggested \$435.
 - a. JD: We also bumped summer? Sorry is already changed on spreadsheet.
15. Rhyllie: Question for Camarie: If we do not change fees there is not a lot of room for enhancements, right?
 - a. Camarie: Correct. If we don't do the change, keeping 15% reserve you need to come up with \$25,000. If you want to at least CSL, need to increase the fees a little bit. If you do the full 5% then you could consider some of the enhancement requests.
16. Rhyllie: It depends on how much enhancements you want to do is what percentage on increase to fee. Have to increase the fee anyway.
17. Tina: Clear with this group: You do not have to increase the fee. If no increase you have to make decisions about area budgets.
18. Rhyllie: Let me rephrase what I said: If we want to meet service level then we need to change it.
19. Nathaniel: I guess we need to decide if we want to give CSL at most basic level.
20. Aidan: Since last we opted to give enhancements and not raise the fee, most appropriate would be to raise the fee and not give cuts to areas.
21. Tina: Please speak up a little, Paula has a hard time hearing.
22. Camarie: Maybe work through the enhancements, max 5% increases, gives you \$4,079,000 to work with, and \$90,000 for enhancements.
 - a. Rhyllie: Looked at last year's minutes, and the fee was not increased so it makes sense the way we do it this year.
23. Rhyllie: Do we want to look at our enhancements?
 - a. Amber: I suggest that you agree first on CSL and then think about enhancements.
24. Nathaniel: I move that the IFC meets the CSL requests at least.
 - a. JD: Seconds



- b. Roll call vote: Nathaniel yea, Cody yea, Skylar yea, Kira yea, Mark yea, Aidan yea, JD yea, Brenda P yea.
 - c. Motion passes 8-0-0. We will meet CSL requests for areas at least.
25. JD: So, with that motion passing, not motion anything but let's sit at the 5% increase for the regular for Fall, Winter, Spring, and then play through the line items and then play with the fee. Sit at 5% for now.
26. Rhyllie: That is the max we can do so it makes sense. Play with it later.
27. Aidan: Do we need to settle on a student fee before we can go on to budgets because that is how it is on the agenda?
- a. Rhyllie: I don't think so. The order does not matter.

ii. Enhancements

- 1. Amber: You can do this in many ways. Cam can put in a formula and mess with it.
- 2. Rhyllie: Enhancement request is a lot more than we can allocate. We can not do everything that everyone wanted. Do we want to go area by area or put percentages in?
 - a. Nathaniel: I think we should look at each request. My first thought was to give a percentage or divide what we have evenly but different requests are going to impact students in a different way.
- 3. Tina: Paula could you click on the enhancement tab.
- 4. Skylar: I think we should go line by line. We owe students to go line by line. We cannot give everyone what they wanted.
- 5. Rhyllie: We have a lot of time. We should be intentional.
- 6. Nathaniel: Can I ask Kristen a question? So, for your coordinator do they each get paid the same rate and same hours?
 - a. Kristen: Yes.
 - b. Nathaniel: So I can divide by 3 and that will be the cost per coordinator?
 - c. Kristen: Yes. In my presentation it outlines it per line item.
- 7. So, starting line by line:
 - a. Abby's House/Food Pantry requested \$24,399.00 because their grant is potentially ending.
 - i. Kristen: We won't know until late Spring.
 - ii. Skylar: If grant is fine then you would not spent it on something else, correct?
 - 1. Kristen: Correct.
 - b. Rhyllie: Can we approve enhancements and then say they can't use it for anything else?
 - i. Tina: Dollars that they don't use go to the reserve.
 - ii. Rhyllie: But if we approved it and they don't use it, it could have gone to another enhancement but it can't.
 - iii. Aidan: We can advise areas what to do with the money but we cannot tell them.



- iv. Rhyllie: But with enhancements it is for the things that they ask for. If they get the grant they can't use it for something else. Am I understanding that correctly?
- v. Evan: It would behoove them not to because the advisors would remember.
- vi. Rhyllie: So they can but they shouldn't?
- vii. Evan: Correct.
- viii. Nathaniel: Focus on worst case scenario. They could use it for whatever they want technically. Focus on worst case and not on what if this happens or that happens.
- ix. Skylar: I am in favor of this enhancement. Important to students and community.
- x. Aidan: I am also comfortable with giving this enhancement. Good services to have.
- xi. Cody: This is needed. I am also for this.
- c. Skylar: I move to approve the enhancement to Abby's House/Food Pantry \$24,399.00.
 - i. Aidan: I second.
 - ii. JD: Point of clarification. Do we need to give the enhancement amount or the entire budget including CSL?
 1. Evan: It depends on what you are doing. If you are just talking about it you do not need to vote about it now.
 2. Rhyllie: I thought we were going to decide enhancements and then do reverse fee and CSL.
 3. Evan: If you want to approve the entire line for Abby's House
 4. Rhyllie: We also have to do their service level right now?
 5. Evan: You don't need a vote if you just want to talk about enhancements. You need a vote when you approve the entire budget.
 6. Tina: You all can just have a discussion about the preliminary numbers. We can play with numbers. No need to vote yet.
 7. Rhyllie: So preliminary unofficially decide what we want and look at the other enhancements?
 8. Evan: You do not have to slow it down to vote on each.
 9. Skylar: We only want to vote for each thing once. So we can just say yes or no.
 10. Rhyllie: I got confused.
 11. JD: I think we have a motion pending right now, so I am going to formally dissent the motion to stop it.



12. Rhyllie: Who seconded?
13. Skylar: I am going to withdraw my motion.
- iii. Mark: Are we trying to talk to each other about yes or no we will give this enhancement request or percentages?
 1. Rhyllie: We are talking about each enhancement super unofficially. So we can figure out numbers.
- iv. Amber: If you have a super strong opinion about it you can call it out. You have roughly \$90,000 to use.
- d. Tina: Camarie had to step away so I am plugging the numbers and she will take over when she returns.
- e. Rhyllie: We have about \$65,000-ish left.
- f. Evan: I want to make sure your motion was no less than 5% but it is important to think about if it is important and think about when it goes over. Don't feel pressured by the \$80,000.
 - i. Rhyllie: Can we?
 - ii. Evan: Yes, IFC is exempt from the 5% limit because it is students doing it to themselves.
 - iii. Nathaniel: Can't the board reject the IFC increase if more than 5%.
 - iv. Paula: Can we have the parliamentary check that in the by-laws, if we are talking 5% of the whole budget or 5% of the fee for the board to say no.
 - v. Evan: IFC budget.
 - vi. JD: Maggie it is article 1 section 1.3.
 - vii. Nathaniel: The website mentions it too.
 - viii. Maggie: I can't find it.
 - ix. Nathaniel: Total of incidental fee budget.
 - x. Maggie: In article 1 subsection 3 it states the total incidental fee budget is increased more than 5% over the level of previous year...
 - xi. Nathaniel: Point of clarification Article 1 sub section 1 subsection 1.
 - xii. Maggie: It is 5%.
 - xiii. Rhyllie: So it is the entire budget. Let's figure out what we want to do with enhancements.
 - xiv. Nathaniel: I think we should look at small budget enhancements first, and I am going straight to WOLF Ride, I have strong feelings about them.
 - xv. Rhyllie: I will say I don't think we need to look at small budgets first.
 - xvi. Aidan: Could we type in Abby's House full enhancement request.
8. WOLF Ride requesting \$4,833 for extended hours
 - a. Cody: I am in favor for this. Transportation is student utilized.



- b. Skylar: It is very isolated out here. Nice to be able to go places.
 - c. Kira: WOLF Ride does trips to Salem. People can't go home lot more things there. Extended hours might have Salem trips.
 - d. Mark: I am in support of this. The app is flourishing, extending hours is helpful.
 - e. Rhyllie: Anybody opposed to it. Hearing none, let's plug that one in. Is there another one that someone is feeling really strongly about?
 - f. JD: For computer replacement, should that one be a zero on enhancements?
 - i. Rhyllie: Could you please clarify what you are saying?
 - ii. JD: Computer replacement enhancement of zero.
 - iii. Rhyllie: You don't want that enhancement?
 - iv. JD: I think we should set that at zero.
 - v. Paula: Could we please when Cam is back get Cam to explain computer replacement a bit more? There is no area head for this.
 - vi. Tina: I want Camarie to talk about computer replacement
 - vii. Rhyllie: We will come back to that one.
 - viii. Tina: Sorry I missed the WOLF Ride one did you decide yes on that one?
 - ix. Rhyllie: Yes.
9. LIA requesting \$6,981.00
- a. Brenda P: The Spanish translation service is a very important one.
 - i. Rhyllie: LIA are you just talking Spanish translations or the identity-based too?
 - 1. Brenda P. Both.
 - ii. Cody: I agree. Especially becoming an HSI.
 - 1. Rhyllie: Keep in mind the community we are in.
 - iii. Nathaniel: I wonder if it would be better if we tried to. ...All of these are needed but we won't be able to fund them all.
 - iv. Mark: Could we talk about certain percentages instead of the full amount. We do want to give everyone what they asked for.
 - 1. Rhyllie: I think we are not doing percentages. No to percentages.
 - v. Skylar: I think we have to go line by line because some things will have to be cut.
 - 1. Rhyllie: Am I hearing in a perfect world you would love to do that but we know we have to say no to some stuff.
 - vi. Aidan: At the beginning of this process we had \$88,000. That would not be enough to fund SE in it's entirety. We do not have to fund every enhancement. We can choose certain areas and certain things.



- vii. JD: We are not locked at the \$88,000. We have to CSL but not locked at the 5%. Funding all the enhancements is probably not the best idea in the world.
1. Rhyllie: Yes, once we clarified the 5% of the whole budget. Do we know what 5% of the budget is?
 2. Tina: I want Camarie to weigh in on this.
 - a. Camarie: My understanding 5% of the budget, prior year allocation, that would be less than 5% based on the fee alone. Last year allocation was \$3.844 million 5% of that would be \$4 million 35, 5% increase in fees would be 4 million 79. Long question about 5% rule, it is not a you will be rejected, the President could say we are not collecting your fee that you set it at. 5% raise to your fee is still a reasonable amount.
 - b. Nathaniel: Point of information, when calculating the 5% did you do it with the total budget available not counting the reserve?
 - i. Camarie: Yes that is my understanding. 5% of prior year allocation.
 - c. Nathaniel: Prior year allocation does that include the reserve?
 - i. Camarie: No.
 - d. Nathaniel: 5% of last year allocation, for this years allocation we still need to account for total budget, right? It is setting aside 15% for the reserve so it is not our entire budget?
 - i. Camarie: Reserve is completely ignored in this. Increase by 5% of prior year is one means that the President could reject it. Tina, Evan , Amber, is that your understanding?
 - ii. Tina: Looking at the by-laws it says total incidental feed budget is in an increase of more than 5% of previous year. Budget would be both reserve and allocation.
 - iii. Maggie: I am reading it as well and I think it is up to IFC, it just says budget.
 - iv. JD: I think this is good conversation to have. It has to be approved by Senate, Board of Trustees, they could reject it if they think it is not good for the students.



- v. Evan: The only way that the President would say no is if a huge chunk of students would show up and say we don't want it.
- vi. Daniel: The amount that you are looking at right now, is based upon the fee increase of 5% and summer more than 5%.
- vii. Rhyllie: This is a student fee for students and the Senate will look at it.
- e. Aidan: We wanted to ask Camarie about computer replacements.
- f. Rhyllie: Yes, Camarie could you elaborate on the computer replacement enhancement request please.
 - i. Camarie: In prior years computer replacement budget was \$30,000. During COVID IFC decided that this was not necessary. UCS is telling us this is not sufficient to replace old computers that can not do security updates. Paula is working on getting a better list of this. Once central pool of money for all IFC funded areas, if they need a computer it does not come out of their own budget. All 2015 computers need to be replaced due to security breach, once computer replacement budget is up, areas will have to rely on their own operational budget.
 - g. Amber: Brenda had brought something up and then other conversation went over it. LIA that did not get conversation.
 - h. Rhyllie: Come back to computer replacement once we have done some of the others we feel strongly about.
- viii. Rhyllie: LIA - anybody have strong opinions about approving this money?
 1. Skylar: I agree with Brenda, those are important.
 2. Rhyllie: Strong about both programs or one over the other?
 - a. Nathaniel: We should start with Spanish translation first and then come back to the other once we discussed other areas.



- b. Aidan: Good to put both on.
 - c. JD: I have strong feelings about approving both of those.
 - d. Rhyllie: Good for both of those.
 - ix. Skylar: I think we should talk about SE Operations.
10. Student Engagement Operations enhancement request \$144,399.00
- a. Rhyllie: Chelsea has ranked the enhancement request in priority order.
 - i. Skylar: Remind me what the student web assistant is?
 1. Chelsea: Accessibility upgrade for SE website.
 2. Nathaniel: Point out the letter that was received from Megan Habermann- Guthrie. [Reads letter](#).
 3. Aidan: Looking at enhancements and not be able to fund all of them. Say yes to web assistant and batteries.
 4. Rhyllie: Let's think of ones we are passionate about.
 5. Aidan: Less inclined to the first one, \$80,000 building reserved, and then the sound system.
 6. JD: Is there ongoing work for an assistant to have 15 hours a week?
 - a. Chelsea: Yes we need an ongoing enhancement. Most web pages are behind. New regulations coming out.
 7. Skylar: Benefiting students, web assistant and additional student building hours have my preference.
 8. Rhyllie: Let's look at the others and then figure out how much of that \$80,000 we are willing to approve.
 9. Nathaniel: With the new ADS requirements, is there a way for ADS to assist?
 - a. Tina: Are you saying DAS, Disability Access Services or ADA?
 - b. Nathaniel: I thought it was ADS? Did we change it?
 - c. Tina: Yes, to DAS. It was ODS now DAS.
 - d. Nathaniel: Are there other means of funding because that is required by law?
 - e. Rhyllie: This might be a question for Rian. Rian is this something that DAS can assist with or is this on the departments?
 - i. Rian: It depends. MARCOM might be able to support the effort. We might have to see what aspects of the website are inaccessible and go from there.



- ii. Rian: Feel free to email me about this.
 - f. Rhyllie: Hold off on this one and talk to Rian about it.
 - g. Brenda: Have we decided on anything?
- 10. Rhyllie: Let's take a 5 minute break and we look at them and come back with our top ones?
- 11. Cody: Can we ask question to area heads during the break?
 - a. Tina: Ask questions during the break so we can all hear the questions.
- 12. Cody: Chelsee could you go over what the building reserve contribution looks like? And the 20% APS position?
 - a. Chelsee: The contribution is yearly contribution to the building reserve to do bigger projects to the building. Replacement of generator, windows, all the non glamorous stuff to run a building.
- 13. Skylar: I move to take a 5 recess.
 - a. Cody: I second.

Rhyllie calls meeting to recess at 8:15PM

Rhyllie calls meeting back from recess at 8:20PM

Meeting microphone muted and several minutes of conversation were not recorded.

- 11. ASWOU requested \$16,143.00 in enhancements.
 - a. Rhyllie: This will be an unofficial vote on enhancements. Just to see if we will consider them. What is your opinion on the director?
 - i. Aidan and JD nay for all of ASWOU enhancements.
 - ii. To request for director: Brenda nay, Mark nay, Kira yea, Cody yea, Nathaniel yea. Rhyllie is for it.
 - b. Rhyllie: How about allocation for the 4 new clubs \$400?
 - i. Skylar yea, Kira yea, Cody yea, Nathaniel nay, Mark nay, Aidan nay, JD yea, Brenda yea.
 - c. Rhyllie: Board game club \$100.
 - i. Nathaniel: nay, Skylar nay, Kira nay, Mark nay, Aidan nay, JD nay Brenda yea.
 - ii. Rhyllie: That was a no.
 - d. Rhyllie: \$2050 increase base club funding?
 - i. Nathaniel: nay, Skylar nay, Kira nay, Mark nay, Aidan nay, JD nay Brenda nay.
 - ii. Rhyllie: That was a no.
 - e. Rhyllie: \$5,000 for club budget enhancement?



- d. Rhyllie: Raise your placard if you are in favor of the Chambers.
 - i. Placards raised and that is in favor.
 - e. Rhyllie: Raise your placard for Salem Pops.
 - i. Placards raised and that is a no.
 - f. Rhyllie: Expanding art activities \$10,310. Raise your placard if you are in favor of this.
 - i. Placards raised and that is a no.
 - g. Amber: Just to clarify priority one was art activities and then you voted on the Chambers.
 - i. Rhyllie: I did not realize that they got flipped. Undo those votes and then move on.
 - ii. Kira: So, what is first?
 - iii. Daniel: Reason for priority is Art is the largest area in creative arts but has the smallest budget. Music is already much larger than arts. When they reprioritize, they could find funds for these activities.
 - iv. Rhyllie: Art is biggest program with smallest budgets and music can find money elsewhere.
 - 1. Daniel: Yes
 - v. Rhyllie: With that information let's redo those. Starting with first priority.
 - h. Rhyllie: Raise placards with art activities.
 - i. Placards raised and that passes.
 - i. Rhyllie: Raise you placards for the Chambers.
 - i. Placards raised and that is a no.
 - j. Rhyllie: Salem Pops has that changed?
 - i. No placards raised Still no.
 - ii. Rhyllie: \$10,300 for arts it is.
15. Student Media requested \$11,135.00 in enhancements.
- a. Rhyllie: Howl student employee, KWOU and Pawdcast.
 - i. Skylar: If we do not want to do all I suggest the Howl. Just funding the Howl student media position.
 - b. Rhyllie: Raise your placard if you are in favor of the Howl.
 - i. Placards raised, that is a yes.
 - c. Rhyllie: Raise your placards for KWOU and Pawdcast.
 - i. Placards raised that is a yes.
 - d. Rhyllie: Raise your placard for NW Passage.
 - i. Placards raised that is a no.
 - e. Rhyllie: One time purchase for a new computer. Could go through computer replacement. Raise your placards.
 - i. Placards raised, that is a no.
16. Student Engagement requested \$144,399.00
- a. Rhyllie: Raise placards in favor of \$80,000 building reserves.
 - i. Placards raised and that is a no.



- b. Rhyllie: Raise your placard for \$8,504 web assistant.
 - i. Placards raised that is a yes.
 - c. Rhyllie: \$9,864 Student employment.
 - i. Raise your placards and that is a pass.
 - d. Rhyllie: \$10,740 sound system.
 - i. Raise your placards and that is a no.
 - e. Rhyllie: \$19,378 20% of APS position.
 - i. Raise your placards and that is a no.
 - f. Rhyllie: \$7,795 Increase hours for student positions.
 - i. Raise your placards and that is a yes.
 - g. Rhyllie: \$3,332 additional hours building manager
 - i. Raise your placards and that is a yes.
 - h. Rhyllie: \$4,789 batteries for carpet extractor.
 - i. Raise your placards and that is a no.
 - i. Camarie: Did I get that right?
 - i. Rhyllie: No, we also wanted the 3 program assistants and the building manager.
 - j. Camarie: We are tentatively over what we had to allocate for enhancements, and it might be nice to have some room to change things after Open Hearings.
 - i. Rhyllie: We still have next weeks preliminary decision. This is something for us to start. Not clear path of fee and enhancements. We don't know what the vibe is on Athletics and Computer Replacement.
 - k. Aidan: We did say we were going to revisit those.
 - i. Amber: You do have next week but suggest making a very preliminary preliminary discussion. Look over your notes and prioritize suggestions.
17. Athletics Requested \$46,252.00
- a. JD: I will not comment on Athletics because that benefits me, other than the fact last year one area not fully enhanced. Give them what they are asking for.
 - b. Cody: We should not keep in consideration last year.
 - c. JD: We need to look at history of things. Blind eye to history of funding.
 - d. Skylar: Hesitant because of everything that is going on with Athletics.
 - e. Aidan: Discourage ignoring the past, it could lead to opportunities like this and seems like favoritism.
 - f. Cody: Athletics does not build our entire demographics on this University. We need to have a conversation amongst all of us. Lowering or not giving an enhancement.
 - g. Kira: We are meeting their CSL, not fair to say favoritism when we are trying to go off what our budget is doing. We are trying to meet



everyone else and the students needs. These are enhancements to make it better.

- h. Mark: As an athlete am I comment?
 - i. Rhyllie: You can comment but you cannot vote.
 - ii. Tina: Right now, you are not voting so you can raise your placard, but when you are voting if you have a conflict of interest you would abstain.
- i. Nathaniel: I am hesitant to fund the enhancement with the ongoing lawsuit. I am worried about what that is going to do for recruitment and how many are going to leave.
- j. Mark: Absolutely love to keep talking about Athletics in our next meeting. Even with the lawsuit the enhancement request is more towards travel, and given the enhancement other funds can go towards scholarships.
- k. JD: Agree with what Mark said. CSL does not fully travel. Lawsuit affects one sport. Media is great at targeting the moment.
- l. Rhyllie: We will continue the conversation next meeting. Look at spreadsheet, move numbers, look at the fee. Come in with your decisions.
- m. Brenda: Could we share with members our numbers?
 - i. Rhyllie: Can talk to others.
 - ii. Evan: Decisions have to be made in public. Share perspectives but justification and why, discussed in a public meeting.
- n. Skylar: I move to table Preliminary Decision FY26 to next week.
 - i. Mark: I second
 - ii. Roll call vote: Nathaniel yea, Brenda yea, JD yea, Aidan yea, Kira yea, Skylar yea, Cody yea.
 - 1. Motion passes 8-0-0

7. Messages from the Floor

- None

8. Adjournment

- Nathaniel: I move to adjourn the meeting at 9:01PM.
 - i. Cody: I second
 - 1. No dissent, meeting adjourned.