Introduction. Data analyzed in this summary report provides descriptive analysis of scores from district-administered teacher effectiveness rubrics for employed WOU program completers in Multiple subjects, Single-Subjects, and Special education areas in their first and or second year of employment. Provided below are descriptive statistics for InTASC standard-aligned indicators by school level and years of experience (1st year or 2st year employee).

Findings and discussion. Overall, the scores observed demonstrate developmentally positive scores for teachers across school levels. As can be seen in Table 1, one area for additional support is Standard 2. Conversely, there are many areas where candidates are scoring well across standards 3, 6, 7, 9, and 10. It should be noted that the first completed analysis in 2018 showed an area for focus around planning in Standard 7. Scores in this analysis show that this is an area where candidates are scoring well. Conversely, scores from Standard 8 (i.e., 8.4 & 8.5), areas associated with critical thinking and higher order questioning, provide evidence that this area of practice could be more greatly supported.

	Elementary School			N	/liddle So	chool	High School			
InTASC Standard	Ν	Mean	Std. D	Ν	Mean	Std. D	Ν	Mean	Std. D	
Std 1	47	2.64	.486	15	2.80	.561	28	2.61	.567	
Std 2	47	2.49	.505	15	2.73	.594	28	2.46	.637	
Std 3	47	2.83	.433	15	2.93	.458	28	2.89	.629	
Std 4	47	2.47	.504	15	2.60	.507	28	2.54	.637	
Std 5	47	2.53	.504	15	2.67	.488	28	2.57	.504	
Std 6	47	2.79	.414	15	2.80	.414	28	2.61	.567	
Std 7	47	2.89	.375	15	2.80	.414	28	2.64	.488	
Std 8	46	2.57	.544	15	2.60	.507	28	2.50	.577	
Std 9	46	2.96	.206	15	3.00	.000	28	2.86	.448	
Std 10	47	2.91	.282	15	2.80	.414	28	2.68	.548	

Table 1. Evaluation Scores by School Level and InTASC Standard

As can be seen in Table 2, middle school teachers have the highest scores compared to elementary and high school. Of additional note, there was no variance for evaluation scores on standard 9, suggesting that evaluators see disposition as a holistic metric. But there is greater variance at high school compared to elementary and middle school.

	Multiple Subjects			Special Education			Single Subjects		
InTASC Standard	Ν	Mean	Std. D	Ν	Mean	Std. D	Ν	Mean	Std. D
Std 1	44	2.61	.493	11	2.91	.701	35	2.63	.490
Std 2	44	2.45	.504	11	3.09	.539	35	2.43	.558
Std 3	44	2.82	.446	11	3.09	.539	35	2.86	.550
Std 4	44	2.43	.501	11	2.73	.467	35	2.54	.611
Std 5	44	2.50	.506	11	2.91	.302	35	2.54	.505
Std 6	44	2.77	.424	11	2.91	.302	35	2.63	.547
Std 7	44	2.86	.347	11	3.00	.447	35	2.66	.482
Std 8	43	2.56	.548	11	2.73	.467	35	2.49	.562
Std 9	43	2.95	.213	11	2.91	.302	35	2.91	.373
Std 10	44	2.95	.211	11	2.73	.467	35	2.69	.530

Table 2. Evaluation Scores by Endorsement and InTASC Standard

As can be seen in Table 3, analyses of candidates at different years of experience statistical comparisons show that mean difference across standards indicate that second year teachers' scores are about .4 to .6 of an ordination above first year teacher scores.

	Ye	ear One Cano	lidates	Year Two Candidates			
nTASC Standard	N	Mean	Std. D	N	Mean	Std. D	
Std 1	54	2.46	.503	36	2.94	.410	
Std 2	54	2.35	.482	36	2.78	.591	
Std 3	54	2.72	.452	36	3.08	.500	
Std 4	54	2.31	.469	36	2.81	.525	
Std 5	54	2.44	.502	36	2.75	.439	
Std 6	54	2.56	.502	36	3.00	.239	
Std 7	54	2.69	.469	36	2.97	.291	
Std 8	53	2.38	.489	36	2.81	.525	
Std 9	53	2.89	.320	36	3.00	.239	
Std 10	54	2.78	.420	36	2.89	.398	

Table 3. Evaluation Scores by Teacher Year-of-hire and InTASC Standard